XI-HC—78

(14)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक Cont. Pet. 158/07 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

Petitioner — Gamenlal Mandavi, S/o Shri Jagduram Mandavi, aged about 45 years, Rural Horticultural Development Officer, R/o Village Devarsur, Post Kaouurkasa, Tehsil Ambagarh Chowki, District Rajnandgaon (CG).

-Versus-

Respondents

1. J.S.C.S. Rao, Director, Agricultural Horticultural Development Kamhardih, VIP Estate, A-7, Raipur (CG).
2. D. Tiwari, Assistant Director, Horticulture Department, Rajnandgaon (CG).

(Presently posted as Assistant Director, Horticulture Department Janjgir-Champa, District Champa.

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Justice L.C. Bhadoo

10-8-2007

Shri Anoop Mazumdar, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

The petitioner has moved this contempt petition against the respondents praying that proceedings may be initiated against them for their willful disobedience of this Court's order dated 17-1-2006 passed in W.P.No.238/2006.

Perusal of the order dated 17-1-2006 reveals that the Court passed the said order without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner was directed to make representation and the respondents were directed to decide the same within two months. It was further directed that

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

15

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	-2-	

the petitioner should not be relieved till the next date of hearing. The petition was disposed of. The order itself is ambiguous.

In para 3 of the contempt petition it has been mentioned that the petitioner was allowed to continue in compliance of the order dated 17-1-2006. Thereafter the petitioner fell sick and he joined on 12-2-2007, but he was not allowed to join. The petitioner has not been able to show as to what contempt has been committed by the respondents, therefore, the contempt petition is liable to be dismissed and it is hereby dismissed.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge